United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	IN RE THE REPUBLIC OF ECUADOR,	No. C-10-80225 MISC CRB (EMC)
9	Applicant,	ODDED DE LETTEDS OF MADCIL 2
10	For the Issuance of a Subpoena for the Taking	ORDER RE LETTERS OF MARCH 2, 2011 AND MARCH 3, 2011
11	of a Deposition and the Production of Documents in a Foreign Proceeding Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782.	(Docket Nos. 94-96)
12	to 28 O.S.C. § 1782.	RELATED CASE
13	/	RELATED CASE
14	IN RE THE APPLICATION OF:	No. C-10-80324 MISC CRB (EMC)
15	Daniel Carlos Lusitand Yaiguaje, et al.,	
16	Applicants,	
17	v.	
18	For the issuance of Subpoenas for the Taking of Depositions and the Production of	
19	Documents in a Foreign Proceeding Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782.	
20		
21		
22		
23	The Court has received Republic of Ecuador's ("ROE") letters of March 2 and 3, 2011 and	
24	Chevron's letter of March 3, 2011. Good cause appearing therefor, the Court orders as follows.	
25	Chevron's belated assertion of privilege in respect to documents subpoenaed from Mr.	
26	Borja's by ROE (the chronology of which is stated in ROE's letter at pp. 2-3) raises serious	
27	questions as to whether Chevron has waived any attorney work product privilege therein. However,	
28	that issue need not be presently resolved. As with the case with Mr. Borja, the issue as to Chevron's	

privilege shall be preserved pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). Thus, Mr. Borja's production shall proceed forthwith. As to any continuing efforts to resolve any remaining disputes regarding Mr. Borja's production, those negotiations shall take place between counsel for Mr. Borja and ROE only. Because Chevron's rights are adequately protected by the Rule 502 order herein, and because of the untimeliness of its entry into this dispute, Chevron shall not seek to prevent or exercise any veto over the production of any document by Mr. Borja in connection with his response to the subpoena and compliance with the prior orders of this Court.

This order disposes of Docket Nos. 94, 95 and 96.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2011

KOM. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge